

UNIVERSITY RESOURCES & PLANNING COMMITTEE

Notes for October 25, 2013

Corbett Conference Room from 1-2:30 PM

Attendees:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Erick Eschker	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Joyce Lopes
<input type="checkbox"/>	Robert Snyder	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Craig Wruck
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Rae Robison, CAHSS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Gina Pierce
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Bruce O'Gara, CNRS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Gay Hylton
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Mark Rizzardi, CNRS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Volga Koval
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	John Lee	<input type="checkbox"/>	Carol Lorentzen
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Peg Blake	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Randi Darnall Burke

Agenda:

- 1. Review fall enrollment census data and the impact to revenue in 2013-14:** Fall tuition revenue is below budget due to a decrease in WUE and non-resident students. Spring 2014 is open for enrollment, so we are hoping to make up most of the revenue shortfall from fall. It was clarified that WUE students will no longer be considered resident students beginning in 2014-15, so HSU must continue to grow resident student enrollment to backfill the WUE students no longer included in the resident target.
- 2. Review student enrollment metrics for multi-year trending and planning:** The committee reviewed comparisons of actual enrollment, budgeted enrollment and the Enrollment Management Plan (EMP). Discussion took place regarding the delta between the EMP and actual enrollment trends for out-of-state and international students, as the EMP shows increased growth, while actual enrollment is decreasing.
- 3. Discuss enrollment funding options and the marginal cost allocation model:** Various options were presented to the committee, with the recommended option being to allocate base budget for enrollment growth based on a three year average increase in enrollment and one-time budget for the budgeted change in enrollment. The enrollment growth funding model will be emailed to the committee for further review. While the committee generally seemed in favor of the enrollment growth funding model for 2014-15, further discussion may be needed to

understand the potential overlap of enrollment growth funding with budget requests for additional faculty that will be submitted through PREP Planning.

4. **Discuss the 2014-15 resource request process using PREP planning:** The PREP Planning process will be used to enter budget requests at the institutional level. Each request will include reference to the priority it is meant to address, and a metric for evaluating the success of the request after a specific period of time. The URPC will need to develop criteria for evaluating resource requests. Potential options will be brought to the next URPC meeting for review and discussion.