Appendix D

Noise Modeling Results



Representative Construction Equipment and Levels Site Preparation (LEQ)

	Distance to Nearest	Combined Predicted		Reference Emission Noise Levels (L _{max}) at 50	Usage
Location	Receptor in feet	Noise Level (L _{eq} dBA)	Equipment	feet ¹	Factor ¹
threshold	741	55.0	Backhoe	80	0.4
2590 Eye Street	50	84.3	Excavator	85	0.4
			Dump Truck	84	0.4

Ground Type	soft
Source Height	8
Receiver Height	5
Ground Factor ²	0.63

Predicted Noise Level ³	L _{eq} dBA at 50 feet ³
Backhoe	76.0
Excavator	81.0
Dump Truck	80.0

Combined Predicted Noise Level (Leg dBA at 50 feet)

84

Sources:

 $L_{eq}(equip) = E.L.+10*log(U.F.) - 20*log(D/50) - 10*G*log(D/50)$

Where: E.L. = Emission Level;

U.F.= Usage Factor;

 $\mbox{G} = \mbox{Constant}$ that accounts for topography and ground effects (FTA 2006: pg 6-23); and

 $^{^{\}rm 1}$ Obtained from the FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model, January 2006. Table 1.

² Based on Figure 6-5 from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 6-23).

³ Based on the following from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 12-3).



Representative Construction Equipment and Levels Site Preparation (LEQ)

	Distance to Nearest	Combined Predicted		Reference Emission Noise Levels (L _{max}) at 50	Usage
Location	Receptor in feet	Noise Level (L _{eq} dBA)	Equipment	feet ¹	Factor ¹
threshold	1,068	55.0	Backhoe	80	1
2590 Eye Street	50	88.2	Excavator	85	1
			Dump Truck	84	1

Ground Type	soft
Source Height	8
Receiver Height	5
Ground Factor ²	0.63

Predicted Noise Level ³	L _{eq} dBA at 50 feet ³
Backhoe	80.0
Excavator	85.0
Dump Truck	84.0

Combined Predicted Noise Level (Leg dBA at 50 feet)

88.2

Sources:

 $L_{eq}(equip) = E.L.+10*log(U.F.) - 20*log(D/50) - 10*G*log(D/50)$

Where: E.L. = Emission Level;

U.F.= Usage Factor;

 $\mbox{G} = \mbox{Constant}$ that accounts for topography and ground effects (FTA 2006: pg 6-23); and

 $^{^{\}rm 1}$ Obtained from the FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model, January 2006. Table 1.

² Based on Figure 6-5 from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 6-23).

³ Based on the following from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 12-3).



Representative Construction Equipment and Levels Grading (LEQ)

	Distance to Nearest	Combined Predicted		Reference Emission Noise Levels (L _{max}) at 50	Usage
Location	Receptor in feet	Noise Level (L _{eq} dBA)	Equipment	feet ¹	Factor ¹
threshold	852	55.0	Dozer	85	0.4
2590 Eye Street	50	85.8	Grader	85	0.4
			Excavator	85	0.4

Ground Type	soft
Source Height	8
Receiver Height	5
Ground Factor ²	0.63

Predicted Noise Level ³	L _{eq} dBA at 50 feet ³
Dozer	81.0
Grader	81.0
Excavator	81.0

Combined Predicted Noise Level (Leg dBA at 50 feet)

85.8

Sources:

 $L_{eq}(equip) = E.L.+10*log (U.F.) - 20*log (D/50) - 10*G*log (D/50)$

Where: E.L. = Emission Level;

U.F.= Usage Factor;

G = Constant that accounts for topography and ground effects (FTA 2006: pg 6-23); and

 $^{^{\}rm 1}$ Obtained from the FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model, January 2006. Table 1.

² Based on Figure 6-5 from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 6-23).

 $^{^{\}rm 3}$ Based on the following from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 12-3).



Representative Construction Equipment and Levels Grading (LMAX)

	Distance to Nearest	Combined Predicted		Reference Emission Noise Levels (L _{max}) at 50	Usage
Location	Receptor in feet	Noise Level (L _{eq} dBA)	Equipment	feet ¹	Factor ¹
threshold	1,230	55.0	Dozer	85	1
2590 Eye Street	50	89.8	Grader	85	1
			Excavator	85	1

Ground Type	soft
Source Height	8
Receiver Height	5
Ground Factor ²	0.63

Predicted Noise Level ³	L _{eq} dBA at 50 feet ³
Dozer	85.0
Grader	85.0
Excavator	85.0

Combined Predicted Noise Level (Leg dBA at 50 feet)

89.8

Sources:

 $L_{eq}(equip) = E.L.+10*log (U.F.) - 20*log (D/50) - 10*G*log (D/50)$

Where: E.L. = Emission Level;

U.F.= Usage Factor;

 $\mbox{G} = \mbox{Constant}$ that accounts for topography and ground effects (FTA 2006: pg 6-23); and

 $^{^{\}rm 1}$ Obtained from the FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model, January 2006. Table 1.

² Based on Figure 6-5 from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 6-23).

 $^{^{\}rm 3}$ Based on the following from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 12-3).



Representative Building Construction Equipment and Levels (LEQ)

	Distance to Nearest	Combined Predicted		Reference Emission Noise Levels (L _{max}) at 50	Usage
Location	Receptor in feet	Noise Level (L _{eq} dBA)	Equipment	feet ¹	Factor ¹
threshold	2,085	45.0	Flat Bed Truck	84	0.4
2590 Eye Street	50	85.5	Generator	82	0.4
			Crane	85	0.16
			Dump Truck	84	0.4
			Front End Loader	80	0.4

Ground Type	soft
Source Height	8
Receiver Height	5
Ground Factor ²	0.63

Predicted Noise Level ³	L _{eq} dBA at 50 feet ³
Flat Bed Truck	80.0
Generator	78.0
Crane	77.0
Dump Truck	80.0
Front End Loader	76.0

Combined Predicted Noise Level (Leg dBA at 50 feet)

85.5

Sources:

 $L_{eq}(equip) = E.L.+10*log(U.F.) - 20*log(D/50) - 10*G*log(D/50)$

Where: E.L. = Emission Level;

U.F.= Usage Factor;

 \mbox{G} = Constant that accounts for topography and ground effects (FTA 2006: pg 6-23); and

 $^{^{\}rm 1}$ Obtained from the FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model, January 2006. Table 1.

² Based on Figure 6-5 from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 6-23).

 $^{^{\}rm 3}$ Based on the following from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 12-3).



Representative Building Construction Equipment and Levels (LEQ)

	Distance to Nearest	Combined Predicted		Reference Emission Noise Levels (L _{max}) at 50	Usage
Location	Receptor in feet	Noise Level (L _{eq} dBA)	Equipment	feet ¹	Factor ¹
threshold	1,294	55.0	Flat Bed Truck	84	1
2590 Eye Street	50	90.3	Generator	82	1
			Crane	85	1
			Dump Truck	84	1
			Front End Loader	80	1

Ground Type	soft
Source Height	8
Receiver Height	5
Ground Factor ²	0.63

Predicted Noise Level ³	L _{eq} dBA at 50 feet ³
Flat Bed Truck	84.0
Generator	82.0
Crane	85.0
Dump Truck	84.0
Front End Loader	80.0

Combined Predicted Noise Level (Leg dBA at 50 feet)

90.3

Sources:

 $L_{eq}(equip) = E.L.+10*log(U.F.) - 20*log(D/50) - 10*G*log(D/50)$

Where: E.L. = Emission Level;

U.F.= Usage Factor;

 $\mbox{G} = \mbox{Constant}$ that accounts for topography and ground effects (FTA 2006: pg 6-23); and

 $^{^{\}rm 1}$ Obtained from the FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model, January 2006. Table 1.

² Based on Figure 6-5 from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 6-23).

³ Based on the following from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 12-3).



Representative Construction Equipment and Levels Paving (LEQ)

				Reference Emission	
	Distance to Nearest	Combined Predicted		Noise Levels (L _{max}) at 50	Usage
Location	Receptor in feet	Noise Level (L _{eq} dBA)	Equipment	feet ¹	Factor ¹
threshold	852	55.0	Paver	85	0.4
2590 Eye Street	70	81.9	Roller	85	0.4
	150	73.2	Concrete Mixer Truck	85	0.4

Ground Type	soft
Source Height	8
Receiver Height	5
Ground Factor ²	0.63

Predicted Noise Level ³	L _{eq} dBA at 50 feet ³
Paver	81.0
Roller	81.0
Concrete Mixer Truck	81.0

Combined Predicted Noise Level (Leg dBA at 50 feet)

85.8

Sources:

Where: E.L. = Emission Level;

U.F.= Usage Factor;

 $\mbox{G} = \mbox{Constant}$ that accounts for topography and ground effects (FTA 2006: pg 6-23); and

 $^{^{\}rm 1}$ Obtained from the FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model, January 2006. Table 1.

² Based on Figure 6-5 from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 6-23).

 $^{^3}$ Based on the following from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 12-3). $L_{eq}(equip) = E.L. + 10 * log (U.F.) - 20 * log (D/50) - 10 * G* log (D/50)$



Representative Construction Equipment and Levels Paving (LEQ)

				Reference Emission	
	Distance to Nearest	Combined Predicted		Noise Levels (L _{max}) at 50	Usage
Location	Receptor in feet	Noise Level (L _{eq} dBA)	Equipment	feet ¹	Factor ¹
threshold	1,230	55.0	Paver	85	1
2590 Eye Street	50	89.8	Roller	85	1
		#NUM!	Concrete Mixer Truck	85	1

Ground Type	soft
Source Height	8
Receiver Height	5
Ground Factor ²	0.63

Predicted Noise Level ³	L _{eq} dBA at 50 feet ³
Paver	85.0
Roller	85.0
Concrete Mixer Truck	85.0

Combined Predicted Noise Level (Leg dBA at 50 feet)

89.8

Sources:

 $L_{eq}(equip) = E.L.+10*log (U.F.) - 20*log (D/50) - 10*G*log (D/50)$

Where: E.L. = Emission Level;

U.F.= Usage Factor;

G = Constant that accounts for topography and ground effects (FTA 2006: pg 6-23); and

 $^{^{\}rm 1}$ Obtained from the FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model, January 2006. Table 1.

 $^{^{2}}$ Based on Figure 6-5 from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 6-23).

 $^{^{\}rm 3}$ Based on the following from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 12-3).

Equipment Description	Acoustical Usage Factor (%)	Spec 721.560 Lmax @ 50ft (dBA slow)	Actual Measured Lmax @ 50ft (dBA slow)	No. of Actual Data Samples (count)	Spec 721.560 LmaxCalc	Spec 721.560 Leq	Distance	Actual Measured LmaxCalc	Actual Measured Leq
Auger Drill Rig	20	85	84	36	79.0	72.0	100	78.0	71.0
Backhoe	40	80	78	372	74.0	70.0	100	72.0	68.0
Bar Bender	20	80	na	0	74.0	67.0	100		
Blasting	na	94	na	0	88.0		100		
Boring Jack Power Unit	50	80	83	1	74.0	71.0	100	77.0	74.0
Chain Saw	20	85	84	46	79.0	72.0	100	78.0	71.0
Clam Shovel (dropping)	20	93	87	4	87.0	80.0	100	81.0	74.0
Compactor (ground)	20	80	83	57	74.0	67.0	100	77.0	70.0
Compressor (air)	40	80	78	18	74.0	70.0	100	72.0	68.0
Concrete Batch Plant	15 40	83	na 70	0	77.0	68.7	100	72.0	60.0
Concrete Mixer Truck	40	85 83	79	40	79.0	75.0	100	73.0	69.0
Concrete Pump Truck Concrete Saw	20 20	82 90	81 90	30 55	76.0 84.0	69.0 77.0	100 100	75.0 84.0	68.0 77.0
Crane	20 16	90 85	90 81	405	79.0	77.0	100	75.0	67.0
Dozer	40	85 85	82	55	79.0	75.0	100	75.0 76.0	72.0
Drill Rig Truck	20	84	79	22	78.0	71.0	100	73.0	66.0
Drum Mixer	50	80	80	1	74.0	71.0	100	74.0	71.0
Dump Truck	40	84	76	31	78.0	74.0	100	70.0	66.0
Excavator	40	85	81	170	79.0	75.0	100	75.0	71.0
Flat Bed Truck	40	84	74	4	78.0	74.0	100	68.0	64.0
Front End Loader	40	80	79	96	74.0	70.0	100	73.0	69.0
Generator	50	82	81	19	76.0	73.0	100	75.0	72.0
Generator (<25KVA, VMS si	50	70	73	74	64.0	61.0	100	67.0	64.0
Gradall	40	85	83	70	79.0	75.0	100	77.0	73.0
Grader	40	85	na	0	79.0	75.0	100		
Grapple (on Backhoe)	40	85	87	1	79.0	75.0	100	81.0	77.0
Horizontal Boring Hydr. Jac		80	82	6	74.0	68.0	100	76.0	70.0
Hydra Break Ram	10	90	na	0	84.0	74.0	100		
Impact Pile Driver	20	95	101	11	89.0	82.0	100	95.0	88.0
Jackhammer	20	85	89	133	79.0	72.0	100	83.0	76.0
Man Lift	20	85	75	23	79.0	72.0	100	69.0	62.0
Mounted Impact Hammer (90	90	212	84.0	77.0	100	84.0	77.0
Pavement Scarafier	20	85 85	90 77	2	79.0	72.0	100	84.0	77.0
Paver Pickup Truck	50 40	85 55	77 75	9 1	79.0 49.0	76.0 45.0	100 100	71.0 69.0	68.0 65.0
Pneumatic Tools	50	85	75 85	90	79.0	76.0	100	79.0	76.0
Pumps	50	77	81	17	71.0	68.0	100	75.0 75.0	70.0
Refrigerator Unit	100	82	73	3	76.0	76.0	100	67.0	67.0
Rivit Buster/chipping gun	20	85	79	19	79.0	72.0	100	73.0	66.0
Rock Drill	20	85	81	3	79.0	72.0	100	75.0	68.0
Roller	20	85	80	16	79.0	72.0	100	74.0	67.0
Sand Blasting (Single Nozzle		85	96	9	79.0	72.0	100	90.0	83.0
Scraper	40	85	84	12	79.0	75.0	100	78.0	74.0
Shears (on backhoe)	40	85	96	5	79.0	75.0	100	90.0	86.0
Slurry Plant	100	78	78	1	72.0	72.0	100	72.0	72.0
Slurry Trenching Machine	50	82	80	75	76.0	73.0	100	74.0	71.0
Soil Mix Drill Rig	50	80	na	0	74.0	71.0	100		
Tractor	40	84	na	0	78.0	74.0	100		
Vacuum Excavator (Vac-tru		85	85	149	79.0	75.0	100	79.0	75.0
Vacuum Street Sweeper	10	80	82	19	74.0	64.0	100	76.0	66.0

Equipment Description	Acoustical Usage Factor (%)	e Lmax @ Lmax @		No. of Actual Data Samples (count)	Spec 721.560 LmaxCalc	Spec 721.560 Leq	Distance	Actual Measured LmaxCalc	Actual Measured Leq	
Ventilation Fan	100	85	79	13	79.0	79.0	100	73.0	73.0	
Vibrating Hopper	50	85	87	1	79.0	76.0	100	81.0	78.0	
Vibratory Concrete Mixer	20	80	80	1	74.0	67.0	100	74.0	67.0	
Vibratory Pile Driver	20	95	101	44	89.0	82.0	100	95.0	88.0	
Warning Horn	5	85	83	12	79.0	66.0	100	77.0	64.0	
Welder / Torch	40	73	74	5	67.0	63.0	100	68.0	64.0	

Source:

FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model, January 2006. Table 9.1

U.S. Department of Transportation

CA/T Construction Spec. 721.560

Distance Propagation Calculations for Stationary Sources of Ground Vibration



KEY: Orange cells are for input.

Grey cells are intermediate calculations performed by the model.

Green cells are data to present in a written analysis (output).

STEP 1: Determine units in which to perform calculation.

- If vibration decibels (VdB), then use Table A and proceed to Steps 2A and 3A.
- If peak particle velocity (PPV), then use Table B and proceed to Steps 2B and 3B.

STEP 2A: Identify the vibration source and enter the reference vibration level (VdB) and distance.

Table A. Propagation of vibration decibels (VdB) with distance

Noise Source/ID	Reference Noise Level								
2590 Eye Street	vibration level	distance							
	(VdB)	@	(ft)						
Vibratory Roller	94	@	25						
Hoe Ram	87	@	25						
Large Bulldozer	87.0	@	25						
Loaded Trucks	86	@	25						
Jackhammer	79.0	@	25						

STEP 2B: Identify the vibration source and enter the reference peak particle velocity (PPV) and distance.

Table B. Propagation of peak particle velocity (PPV) with distance

Noise Source/ID	Reference Noise Level									
2590 Eye Street	vibration level	distance								
	(PPV)	@	(ft)							
Vibratory Roller	0.210	@	25							
Hoe Ram	0.089	@	25							
Large Bulldozer	0.089	@	25							
Loaded Trucks	0.076	@	25							
Jackhammer	0.035	@	25							

STEP 3A: Select the distance to the receiver.

Attenuated Noi	Attenuated Noise Level at Receptor									
vibration level	vibration level									
(VdB)	@	(ft)								
91.6	@	30								
84.6	@	30								
84.6	@	30								
83.6	@	30								
76.6	@	30								

STEP 3B: Select the distance to the receiver.

Attenuated Noise Level at Receptor									
vibration level		distance							
(PPV)	@	(ft)							
0.160	@	30							
0.068	@	30							
0.068	@	30							
0.058	@	30							
0.027	@	30							

Notes:

Computation of propagated vibration levels is based on the equations presented on pg. 12-11 of FTA 2006. Estimates of attenuated vibration levels do not account for reductions from intervening underground barriers or other underground structures of any type, or changes in soil type.

Sources:

Federal Transit Association (FTA). 2006 (May). Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment. FTA-VA-90-1003-06. Washington, D.C. Available: http://www.fta.dot.gov/documents/FTA_Noise_and_Vibration_Manual.pdf>. Accessed: September 24, 2010.

Distance Propagation Calculations for Stationary Sources of Ground Vibration



KEY: Orange cells are for input.

Grey cells are intermediate calculations performed by the model.

Green cells are data to present in a written analysis (output).

STEP 1: Determine units in which to perform calculation.

- If vibration decibels (VdB), then use Table A and proceed to Steps 2A and 3A.
- If peak particle velocity (PPV), then use Table B and proceed to Steps 2B and 3B.

STEP 2A: Identify the vibration source and enter the reference vibration level (VdB) and distance.

Table A. Propagation of vibration decibels (VdB) with distance

Table A. Propagation of vibration decibers (vdb) with distance											
Reference Noise Level											
vibration level		distance									
(VdB)	@	(ft)									
94	@	25									
	Reference vibration level (VdB)	Reference No vibration level (VdB) @									

STEP 3A: Select the distance to the receiver.

Attenuated Noise Level at Receptor											
vibration level	distance										
(VdB)	@	(ft)									
91.6	@	30									

STEP 2B: Identify the vibration source and enter the reference peak particle velocity (PPV) and distance.

Table B. Propagation of peak particle velocity (PPV) with distance

Table 21 Topagation of peak particle velocity (11 v) with distance												
Reference Noise Level												
vibration level		distance										
(PPV)	@	(ft)										
0.210	@	25										
	Reference vibration level (PPV)	Reference No vibration level (PPV) @										

STEP 3B: Select the distance to the receiver.

Attenuated Noise Level at Receptor										
vibration level		distance								
(PPV)	@	(ft)								
0.160	@	30								

Notes:

Computation of propagated vibration levels is based on the equations presented on pg. 12-11 of FTA 2006. Estimates of attenuated vibration levels do not account for reductions from intervening underground barriers or other underground structures of any type, or changes in soil type.

Sources:

Federal Transit Association (FTA). 2006 (May). Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment. FTA-VA-90-1003-06. Washington, D.C. Available: http://www.fta.dot.gov/documents/FTA_Noise_and_Vibration_Manual.pdf>. Accessed: September 24, 2010.



Project: CSU Humboldt

Number	Name	Segment Description and Location From	То	Existing Conditions	Existing + Project Conditions	Δ Existing – Existing + Project	Cumulative Conditions	Cumulative +Project Conditions	Δ Cumulative – Cumulative + Project
Summ	ary of Net Changes								
1	Spear Ave	Alliance Rd	West End Road	62.7	63.4	0.7	63.2	63.8	0.7
2	West End Road	Spear Avenue	West End Court	62.9	63.4	0.5	63.4	63.8	0.4
3	St Louis Road	West End Road	US 101 Overcrossing	62.7	63.9	1.2	63.2	64.3	1.1
4	US 101 overcrossing	St Louis Rd	LK Wood Blvd	63.1	65.8	2.6	63.6	66.0	2.4
5	LK Wood Blvd	Granite ave	Sunset Ave	66.3	67.3	1.0	66.6	67.6	1.0
6	LK Wood Blvd	Sunset Ave	Plaza Ave	67.0	67.5	0.5	67.3	67.7	0.4
7	Sunset Ave	G St	LK Wood blvd	67.3	67.7	0.4	67.7	68.1	0.4

^{*}All modeling assumes average pavement, level roadways (less than 1.5% grade), constant traffic flow and does not account for shielding of any type or finite roadway adjustments. All levels are reported as A-weighted noise levels.



Project:	CSU Humboldt																	
								In	put							Output		
	Noise Level Descripto	r: CNEL																
	Site Condition	s: Hard																
	Traffic Inpu	t: ADT																
	Traffic K-Facto	r:				Distan												
						Direct												
	-	escription and Locat			Speed	Centerline			Traffic Di					CNEL,			ntour, (feet	
Number		From	То	ADT	(mph)	Near	Far	% Auto	% Medium	% Heavy	% Day	% Eve	% Night	(dBA) _{5,6,7}	70 dBA	65 dBA	60 dBA	55 dBA
Existi	ng Conditions																	
1	Spear Ave	Alliance Rd	West End Road	3,040	45	45	55	97.5%	1.5%	1.0%	85.0%	7.5%	7.5%	62.7	9	29	92	291
2	West End Road	Spear Avenue	West End Court	3,220	45	45	55	97.5%	1.5%	1.0%	85.0%	7.5%	7.5%	62.9	10	31	97	308
3	St Louis Road	West End Road	US 101 Overcrossing	3,070	45	45	55	97.5%	1.5%	1.0%	85.0%	7.5%	7.5%	62.7	9	29	93	294
4	US 101 overcrossing	St Louis Rd	LK Wood Blvd	3,390	45	45	55	97.5%	1.5%	1.0%	85.0%	7.5%	7.5%	63.1	10	32	103	324
5	LK Wood Blvd	Granite ave	Sunset Ave	7,010	45	45	55	97.5%	1.5%	1.0%	85.0%	7.5%	7.5%	66.3	21	67	212	671
6	LK Wood Blvd	Sunset Ave	Plaza Ave	8,220	45	45	55	97.5%	1.5%	1.0%	85.0%	7.5%	7.5%	67.0	25	79	249	787
7	Sunset Ave	G St	LK Wood blvd	8,750	45	45	55	97.5%	1.5%	1.0%	85.0%	7.5%	7.5%	67.3	26	84	265	837

^{*}All modeling assumes average pavement, level roadways (less than 1.5% grade), constant traffic flow and does not account for shielding of any type or finite roadway adjustments. All levels are reported as A-weighted noise levels.



Project:	CSU Humboldt																	
-								Inp	ut							Output		
	Noise Level Descripto	r: CNEL																
	Site Condition	s: Hard																
	Traffic Inpu	t: ADT																
	Traffic K-Facto	r:				Dista												
						Direc												
	-	escription and Loca	ion		Speed	Centerlin	e, (feet) ₄			stribution				CNEL,	Di	stance to Co	ntour, (feet)3
Number	Name	From	То	ADT	(mph)	Near	Far	% Auto	% Medium	% Heavy	% Day	% Eve	% Night	(dBA) _{5,6,7}	70 dBA	65 dBA	60 dBA	55 dBA
Existi	ng + Project Condition																	
1	Spear Ave	Alliance Rd	West End Road	3,610	45	45	55	97.5%	1.5%	1.0%	85.0%	7.5%	7.5%	63.4	11	35	109	345
2	West End Road	Spear Avenue	West End Court	3,600	45	45	55	97.5%	1.5%	1.0%	85.0%	7.5%	7.5%	63.4	11	34	109	344
3	St Louis Road	West End Road	US 101 Overcrossing	4,020	45	45	55	97.5%	1.5%	1.0%	85.0%	7.5%	7.5%	63.9	12	38	122	385
4	US 101 overcrossing	St Louis Rd	LK Wood Blvd	6,240	45	45	55	97.5%	1.5%	1.0%	85.0%	7.5%	7.5%	65.8	19	60	189	597
5	LK Wood Blvd	Granite ave	Sunset Ave	8,910	45	45	55	97.5%	1.5%	1.0%	85.0%	7.5%	7.5%	67.3	27	85	270	853
6	LK Wood Blvd	Sunset Ave	Plaza Ave	9,170	45	45	55	97.5%	1.5%	1.0%	85.0%	7.5%	7.5%	67.5	28	88	277	877
7	Sunset Ave	G St	LK Wood blvd	9,700	45	45	55	97.5%	1.5%	1.0%	85.0%	7.5%	7.5%	67.7	29	93	294	928

^{*}All modeling assumes average pavement, level roadways (less than 1.5% grade), constant traffic flow and does not account for shielding of any type or finite roadway adjustments. All levels are reported as A-weighted noise levels.



Project	CSU Humboldt																	
								Input	:							Output		
	Noise Level Descripto	or: CNEL																
	Site Condition	s: Hard																
	Traffic Inpu	it: ADT																
	Traffic K-Facto	or:				Distan	ce to											
						Directi	onal											
	Segment Description and Location				Speed	Centerline	e, (feet) ₄		Traffic D	istribution	Characte	ristics		CNEL,	Di	stance to Co	ntour, (feet)3
Number	Name	From	То	ADT	(mph)	Near	Far	% Auto	% Medium	% Heavy	% Day	% Eve	% Night	(dBA) _{5,6,7}	70 dBA	65 dBA	60 dBA	55 dBA
Cum	ulative Conditions																	
1	Spear Ave	Alliance Rd	West End Road	3,400	45	45	55	97.5%	1.5%	1.0%	85.0%	7.5%	7.5%	63.2	10	33	103	325
2	West End Road	Spear Avenue	West End Court	3,590	45	45	55	97.5%	1.5%	1.0%	85.0%	7.5%	7.5%	63.4	11	34	109	344
3	St Louis Road	West End Road	US 101 Overcrossing	3,440	45	45	55	97.5%	1.5%	1.0%	85.0%	7.5%	7.5%	63.2	10	33	104	329
4	US 101 overcrossing	St Louis Rd	LK Wood Blvd	3,770	45	45	55	97.5%	1.5%	1.0%	85.0%	7.5%	7.5%	63.6	11	36	114	361
5	LK Wood Blvd	Granite ave	Sunset Ave	7,490	45	45	55	97.5%	1.5%	1.0%	85.0%	7.5%	7.5%	66.6	23	72	227	717
6	LK Wood Blvd	Sunset Ave	Plaza Ave	8,780	45	45	55	97.5%	1.5%	1.0%	85.0%	7.5%	7.5%	67.3	27	84	266	840
7	Sunset Ave	G St	LK Wood blvd	9,780	45	45	55	97.5%	1.5%	1.0%	85.0%	7.5%	7.5%	67.7	30	94	296	936

^{*}All modeling assumes average pavement, level roadways (less than 1.5% grade), constant traffic flow and does not account for shielding of any type or finite roadway adjustments. All levels are reported as A-weighted noise levels.



Project:	CSU Humboldt																	
								1	nput							Output		
	Noise Level Descriptor	r: CNEL																
	Site Conditions	s: Hard																
	Traffic Inpu	t: ADT				Dista	nce to											
	Traffic K-Factor	r:				Direc												
						Cente												
	Segment D	escription and Loca	tion		Speed	(fe	et) ₄		Traffic Di	stribution	Characte	ristics		CNEL,	Di	stance to Co	ntour, (feet)3
Number	Name	From	То	ADT	(mph)	Near	Far	% Auto	% Medium	% Heavy	% Day	% Eve	% Night	(dBA) _{5,6,7}	70 dBA	65 dBA	60 dBA	55 dBA
Cum	ılative +Project Condi	tions																
1	Spear Ave	Alliance Rd	West End Road	3,970	45	45	55	97.5%	1.5%	1.0%	85.0%	7.5%	7.5%	63.8	12	38	120	380
2	West End Road	Spear Avenue	West End Court	3,970	45	45	55	97.5%	1.5%	1.0%	85.0%	7.5%	7.5%	63.8	12	38	120	380
3	St Louis Road	West End Road	US 101 Overcrossing	4,390	45	45	55	97.5%	1.5%	1.0%	85.0%	7.5%	7.5%	64.3	13	42	133	420
4	US 101 overcrossing	St Louis Rd	LK Wood Blvd	6,620	45	45	55	97.5%	1.5%	1.0%	85.0%	7.5%	7.5%	66.0	20	63	200	633
5	LK Wood Blvd	Granite ave	Sunset Ave	9,390	45	45	55	97.5%	1.5%	1.0%	85.0%	7.5%	7.5%	67.6	28	90	284	898
6	LK Wood Blvd	Sunset Ave	Plaza Ave	9,730	45	45	55	97.5%	1.5%	1.0%	85.0%	7.5%	7.5%	67.7	29	93	294	931
7	Sunset Ave	G St	LK Wood blvd	10,730	45	45	55	97.5%	1.5%	1.0%	85.0%	7.5%	7.5%	68.1	32	103	325	1027

^{*}All modeling assumes average pavement, level roadways (less than 1.5% grade), constant traffic flow and does not account for shielding of any type or finite roadway adjustments. All levels are reported as A-weighted noise levels.

Citation # Citations

1	Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement. 2009 (November). Table (5-11), Pg 5-60.	Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement. 2013 (September). Table (4-2), Pg 4-17.
2	Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement. 2009 (November). Equation (5-26), Pg 5-60.	Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement. 2013 (September). Equation (4-5), Pg 4-17.
3	Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement. 2009 (November). Equation (2-16), Pg 2-32.	FHWA 2004 TNM Version 2.5
4	Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement. 2009 (November). Equation (5-11), Pg 5-47, 48.	FHWA 2004 TNM Version 2.5
5	Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement. 2009 (November). Equation (2-26), Pg 2-55, 56.	Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement. 2013 (September). Equation (2-23), Pg 2-51, 52.
6	Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement. 2009 (November). Equation (2-27), Pg 2-57.	Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement. 2013 (September). Equation (2-24), Pg 2-53.
7	Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement. 2009 (November). Pg 2-53.	Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement. 2013 (September). Pg 2-57.
8	Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement. 2009 (November). Equation (5-7), Pg 5-45.	FHWA 2004 TNM Version 2.5
9	Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement. 2009 (November). Equation (5-8), Pg 5-45.	FHWA 2004 TNM Version 2.5
10	Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement. 2009 (November). Equation (5-9), Pg 5-45.	FHWA 2004 TNM Version 2.5
11	Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement. 2009 (November). Equation (5-13), Pg 5-49.	FHWA 2004 TNM Version 2.5
12	Caltrans Technical Noise Supplement. 2009 (November). Equation (5-14), Pg 5-49.	FHWA 2004 TNM Version 2.5
13	Federal Highway Administration Traffic Noise Model Technical Manual. Report No. FHWA-	PD-96-010. 1998 (January). Equation (16), Pg 67
14	Federal Highway Administration Traffic Noise Model Technical Manual. Report No. FHWA-	PD-96-010. 1998 (January). Equation (20), Pg 69

References

15

California Department of Transportation (Caltrans). 2009 (November). Technical Noise Supplement. Available: http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/env/noise/pub/tens_complete.pdf. Accessed August 17, 2017.

Federal Highway Administration Traffic Noise Model Technical Manual. Report No. FHWA-PD-96-010. 1998 (January). Equation (18), Pg 69

California Department of Transportation (Caltrans). 2013 (September). Technical Noise Supplement. Available: http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/env/noise/pub/TeNS_Sept_2013A.pdf. Accessed August 17, 2017.

Federal Highway Administration. 2004. Traffic Noise Model Version 2.5. Available: https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/noise/traffic_noise_model/tnm_v25/. Accessed August 17, 2017.





KEY: Orange cells are for input.

Grey cells are intermediate calculations performed by the model.

Green cells are data to present in a written analysis (output).

STEP 1: Identify the noise source and enter the reference noise level (dBA and distance).

STEP 2: Select the ground type (hard or soft), and enter the source and receiver heights.

STEP 3: Select the distance to the receiver.

Noise Source/ID	Reference Noise Level		Attenuation Characteristics			Attenuated Noise Level at Receptor				r		
	noise level		distance	Ground Type	Source	Receiver	Ground		noise level		distance	
	(dBA)	@	(ft)	(soft/hard)	Height (ft)	Height (ft)	Factor		(dBA)	@	(ft)	
HVAC LEQ	75.0	@	3	soft	8	5	0.63		44.8	@	42	
HVAC LEQ	75.0	@	3	soft	8	5	0.63		50.7	@	25	
				hard	8	5	0.00		#DIV/0!	@	50	
				hard	8	5	0.00		#DIV/0!	@	50	
				hard	8	5	0.00		#DIV/0!	@	71	
				soft	8	5	0.63		#DIV/0!	@	80	
				soft	8	5	0.63		#DIV/0!	@	80	
				soft	8	5	0.63		#DIV/0!	@	1000	
							0.66					
							0.66					
							0.66					
							0.66					
							0.66					
							0.66					

Notes:

Estimates of attenuated noise levels do not account for reductions from intervening barriers, including walls, trees, vegetation, or structures of any type.

Computation of the attenuated noise level is based on the equation presented on pg. 176 and 177 of FTA 2018.

Computation of the ground factor is based on the equation presentd in Table 4-26 on pg. 86 of FTA 2018, where the distance of the reference noise leve can be adjusted and the usage factor is not applied (i.e., the usage factor is equal to 1).

Sources:

Federal Transit Association (FTA). 2018 (September). Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment. Washington, D.C. Available: Accessed: March 5, 2020.



Parking Lot Noise Calculation

Orange cells are for input. KEY:

Green cells are data to present in a written analysis (output).

Number of automobiles per hour	20	# of spaces within	50 feet of Receptor
Number of buses per hour	0	Activity	/ % # of autos per hour
Distance to sensitive receptor (feet)	50	40 5	50% 20

	<u>distance</u>	sound level
Leq@	50	45.4
Leq @	25	51.4

Source

Federal Transit Administration. 2018 (September). Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment. Washington, D.C. Available: https://www.transit.dot.gov/sites/fta.dot.gov/files/docs/researchinnovation/118131/transit-noise-and-vibration-impact-assessment-manual-fta-report-no-0123 0.pdf. Accessed February 4, 2019. See pages 45–47, including Equation 4-14.

Existing Noise Combined with Parking Lot Noise

Hourly Leq Noise Level by Noise Source Hour of Day	Existing Measured Noise Levels	Parking Lot Noise Levels	Combined Hourly Leq
0:00	52.4	0.0	52.4
1:00	50.6	0.0	50.6
2:00	49.2	0.0	49.2
3:00	46.5	0.0	46.5
4:00	48.5	0.0	48.5
5:00	56.2	45.4	56.5
6:00	63.6	45.4	63.7
7:00	64.0	45.4	64.1
8:00	63.8	45.4	63.9
9:00	63.0	45.4	63.1
10:00	63.1	45.4	63.2
11:00	63.3	45.4	63.4
12:00	63.2	45.4	63.3
13:00	63.4	45.4	63.5
14:00	63.9	45.4	64.0
15:00	64.2	45.4	64.3
16:00	64.0	45.4	64.1
17:00	64.4	45.4	64.5
18:00	62.9	45.4	63.0
19:00	61.7	45.4	61.8
20:00	58.9	45.4	59.1
21:00	58.1	0.0	58.1
22:00	56.7	0.0	56.7
23:00	55.8	0.0	55.8

<u>Notes</u>

Parking activity is assumed to occur during summer daylight hours only (i.e., 5 AM- 9 PM)
Existing Noise level values are shown in Appendix X, Long-term Noise Measurement Summary worksheet



Parking Lot CNEL Calculation

KEY: Orange cells are for input.

Grey cells are intermediate calculations performed by the model.

Green cells are data to present in a written analysis (output).

Measurement Site: North Demo Northern Terminus near Tunnel Creek Road

Measurement Date: 8/23/2011
Project Name: North Demo

Computation of CNEL

Hour of Day Sound (military Level Leq		Sound Power =10*Log(dB		d of 24-Houncluded, 0=	•	Sound Power Breakdown by Period of Day					
time)	(dBA)	A/10)	Day	Evening	Night	Day	Evening	Night			
0:00	52.4	173,781	0	0	1	0	0	173,781			
1:00	50.6	114,816	0	0	1	0	0	114,816			
2:00	49.2	83,177	0	0	1	0	0	83,177			
3:00	46.5	44,669	0	0	1	0	0	44,669			
4:00	48.5	70,796	0	0	1	0	0	70,796			
5:00	56.5	451,543	0	0	1	0	0	451,543			
6:00	63.7	2,325,541	0	0	1	0	0	2,325,541			
7:00	64.1	2,546,560	1	0	0	2,546,560	0	0			
8:00	63.9	2,433,507	1	0	0	2,433,507	0	0			
9:00	63.1	2,029,936	1	0	0	2,029,936	0	0			
10:00	63.2	2,076,412	1	0	0	2,076,412	0	0			
11:00	63.4	2,172,636	1	0	0	2,172,636	0	0			
12:00	63.3	2,123,970	1	0	0	2,123,970	0	0			
13:00	63.5	2,222,435	1	0	0	2,222,435	0	0			
14:00	64.0	2,489,383	1	0	0	2,489,383	0	0			
15:00	64.3	2,664,942	1	0	0	2,664,942	0	0			
16:00	64.1	2,546,560	1	0	0	2,546,560	0	0			
17:00	64.5	2,788,902	1	0	0	2,788,902	0	0			
18:00	63.0	1,984,518	1	0	0	1,984,518	0	0			
19:00	61.8	1,513,782	0	1	0	0	1,513,782	0			
20:00	59.1	810,921	0	1	0	0	810,921	0			
21:00	58.1	645,655	0	1	0	0	645,655	0			
22:00	56.7	467,736	0	0	1	0	0	467,736			
23:00	55.8	380,190	0	0	1	0	0	380,190			

Sum of Sound Power during Period wo/penalty 28,079,760 2,970,358 4,112,251 Log Factor for CNEL Penalty (i.e., 10*log(x)) 1 3 10 Sound Power during Period with penalty 28,079,760 8,911,074 41,122,507

Total Daily Sound Power, with penalties 78,113,342
Hours per Day 24
Average Hourly Sound Power, with penalties 3,254,723

CNEL

65.1

Ldn computation on next page.

	Period o	f 24-Hour	Sound	Power
	Day (1=	included,	Breakd	own by
	0=	not)	Period	of Day
	Day	Night	Day	Night
	0	1	0	173,781
	0	1	0	114,816
	0	1	0	83,177
	0	1	0	44,669
	0	1	0	70,796
	0	1	0	451,543
	0	1	0	2,325,541
	1	0	2,546,560	0
	1	0	2,433,507	0
	1	0	2,029,936	0
	1	0	2,076,412	0
	1	0	2,172,636	0
	1	0	2,123,970	0
	1	0	2,222,435	0
	1	0	2,489,383	0
	1	0	2,664,942	0
	1	0	2,546,560	0
	1	0	2,788,902	0
	1	0	1,984,518	0
	1	0	1,513,782	0
	1	0	810,921	0
	1	0	645,655	0
	0	1	0	467,736
	0	1	0	380,190
Sum of Sound Power during	Period w	o/penalty	31,050,118	4,112,251
Log Factor for Pena	alty (i.e.,	10*log(x))	1	10
Sound Power during P	Period wit	th penalty	31,050,118	41,122,507
Total Dai	ily Sound	Power, wi	th penalties	72,172,625
		Но	ours per Day	24
Average Hour	ly Sound	Power, wi	th penalties	3,007,193
			Ldn	64.8

Notes:

Computation of the CNEL based on 1-hour Leq measurements for each hour of a day are based on equation 2-27 on pg. 2-57 of Caltrans 2009.

Computation of the Ldn based on 1-hour Leq measurements for each hour of a day are based on equation 2-26 on pg. 2-56 of Caltrans 2009.

Log factors for the Ldn and CNEL penalties are provided in Table 2-12 on pg. 2-52 of Caltrans 2009.

Source:

California Deaprtment of Transportation (Caltrans), Divisiong of Environmental Analysis. 2009 (November). 2009 Technical Noise Supplement. Sacramento, CA. Available: http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/env/noise/. Accessed September 24, 2010.