

CAL POLY HUMBOLDT

February 27, 2025

To All Prospective Bidders

SUBJECT: RFQ #PW25-1, Science Renovations, Project PLY102

Addendum #2

The following changes, omissions and/or additions to the Bidding Documents shall apply to proposals made for and to the execution of the various parts of the work affected thereby and all other conditions shall remain the same. In case of conflict between Bidding Documents and this Addendum, this Addendum shall govern.

1. RFQ Submittal Due Date.

RFQ Statement of Qualifications Submittal due date has not been changed and remains Thursday, March 6, 2025 by 3:00 p.m.

Questions and Answers

- Q1.** In the appendix, the numbering follows a sequence from Item 4 through Item 9 (Prevailing Wage Penalty History). However, the next items are labeled b, c, d, and e instead of continuing as 10, 11, 12, and 13. Should these items be renumbered sequentially for consistency?

Answer: Revise formatting to use Tabs 10 through 13 in lieu of 9b, 9c, 9d and 9e.

- Q2.** For Section d. General Design-Build Experience, should the response focus on a general narrative of the Design-Build team's overall experience, or should it provide project-specific data sheets to supplement Sections 1 & 2 (Contractor & Architect's Information)?

Answer: The Respondent should provide information in a manner that best conveys the information as requested in the most concise manner without repetition. Note this is now Tab 10 per above.

- Q3.** Can you please clarify the GMP/total construction cost.

Answer: The Direct Construction Cost Budget is \$21,804,000. The GMP budget is \$26,404,000.

- Q4.** Has an asbestos/PCB report been done?

Answer: There are select reports, but nothing current and specific to this scope. It is anticipated that the University will undertake a project-specific study, and it will be

complete near the start of Phase 1 services.

Q5. Has an HMIS chemical inventory already been completed for the building?

Answer: Any information the University has will be provided in the RFP.

Q6. There does not appear to be a form provided for the Signed Addenda Acknowledgment. Please confirm if you will be providing a form for us to sign and include here.

Answer: Please acknowledge any addendums received in your cover letter (Tab 4).

Q7. Please confirm if you want sections 10 – 13 under Section 9 - Prevailing Wage Penalty History or if these are supposed to be their own sections.

Answer: See response to Q1.

Q8. Is SmithGroup eliminated from bidding since they did the Feasibility Study?

Answer: No. The primary consultant, Suarez-Kuehne Architecture, is excluded from participating in the CDB process, Sub-consultants are not excluded.

Contracts & Procurement

Addie Dunaway
Procurement Specialist