



CM AT RISK
TECHNICAL PROPOSAL EVALUATION SCORESHEET
Health Education Hub
California State Polytechnic University, Humboldt

Technical Reviewer: Committee Consensus

Proposer Name: _____

RFP Section 9 - Technical Proposal Requirements		
TAB 1 - Proposer Interview - 40 points Maximum		
The makeup of the proposed Proposer's Project team and each member's ability to communicate and work effectively with the rest of the team; the presentation should focus on the following:	Max Pts / Item	SCORE
1. Introduction of the proposed staffing for the Project, and qualities that each proposed staff member brings to the team that will lend to the success of this Project.	8	
2. The factors that differentiate this Proposer from the others, i.e. unique qualifications.	8	
3. Descriptions by Proposer's staff of their previous successes and difficulties with integration into, and communications with, previous project teams.	8	
4. Areas of the Proposer's proposal that warrant the special attention of the evaluation team, especially those projects completed by this team together in the local area that demonstrate their ability to successfully complete this Project.	8	
5. Project-related questions the Proposer's team may have for the interview team.	8	
Total Points for Proposer Interview		0
TAB 2 - Project Experience / 40 points Maximum		
Two or more projects of similar size and type, illustrating the Proposer's relevant project experience that must have been completed (or at least 75% complete) in California within the last five years, for which the Proposer worked as a CM at Risk and worked directly with the architect. "Projects of similar size and type" is defined as having all of the following:	Max Pts / Item	SCORE
A. Direct construction cost at time of bid of at least \$7,500,000 for each of the projects		
B. Construction of Type I or Type II		
C. Containing various components of the project program such as: administrative spaces, classroom spaces, labs, landscaping & site improvements.		
<u>Project 1 - 20 Points Maximum</u>		
Provide a narrative project description and include the processes that were used for:		
1. Design coordination and review with the Architect.	3	
2. Review and constructability issues - addressing and rolling into the design	2	
3. Cost estimating and value engineering, and resolving issues to revise or develop the Architect's design to meet the project construction budget	3	
A narrative description for the delivery method and include information that:		
1. Details the manner that subcontractor bids were solicited and issued	2	
2. Details the types and qualifications of the trade work for this project type and size	2	
3. Demonstrates the ability to deliver a project using the CM at Risk delivery	4	
Project 1 - Reference Checks / Team Interaction	4	
Subtotal Points for Project 1		0

Continue on to TAB 2, Project 2 on next page

TAB 2 - Project Experience (continued)

Project 2 - 20 Points Maximum		
Provide a narrative project description and include the processes that were used for:		
1. Design coordination and review with the Architect.	3	
2. Review and constructability issues - addressing and rolling into the design	2	
3. Cost estimating and value engineering, and resolving issues to revise or develop the Architect's design to meet the project construction budget	3	
A narrative description for the delivery method and include information that:		
1. Details the manner that subcontractor bids were solicited and issued	2	
2. Details the types of the trade work design-build/design-assist for this project type and size	2	
3. Demonstrates the ability to deliver a project using the CM at Risk delivery	4	
Project 2 - Reference Checks / Team Interaction	4	
Subtotal Points for Project 2		0
Total Points for Project Experience		0
TAB 3 - Project Organization, Personnel Experience and Qualifications / 50 Points Maximum		
Provide information for the organization of the project staff that will be used to successfully deliver this project. Define the key personnel of each team component and how the team will be managed, the decision making ability in the process, and the qualifications of the key personnel.	Max Pts / Item	SCORE
A. Project Organization - 15 Points Maximum		
1. How will staff function during each phase of the project	2	
2. Identify key positions within the organization and the role and responsibilities / Org. Chart	3	
3. Identify whether positions will be for the duration or phase of the project	10	
Subtotal Points for Project Organization		0
B. Personnel Experience and Qualifications - 35 Points Maximum		
1. Experience on projects of similar size, scope and complexity & budget	10	
2. Experience with alternative project delivery methods / Collaboration with project team	5	
3. Professional certifications and technical expertise	5	
4. Experience working with other proposed team members	5	
C. In-House Capabilities - Identify In-house specialties that improve the project	5	
D. Length of Employment with CM	5	
Subtotal Points for Personnel Experience and Qualifications		0
Total Points for Project Organization, Personnel Experience and Qualifications		0
TAB 4 - Staffing Levels / 80 Points Maximum		
Staffing levels will be scored based on the total quantity of hours, appropriateness of classifications, and quantity of hours for each classification.	Max Pts/ Item	SCORE
A. Preconstruction - Table of staff / Employees & Classifications / Total time spent	40	
B. Construction - Table of staff / Employees & Classifications / Total time spent	40	
C. Hourly Rates / Preconstruction & Construction	0	
Total Points for Staffing Levels		0

Continue on to TAB 5 on next page

Proposer Name: _____

Technical Proposal Evaluation Scoresheet

TAB 5 - Overall Project Approach / 80 Points Maximum		
Provide a narrative of the approach and work plan for Preconstruction and Construction. Indicate a clear understanding of CM at Risk delivery.	Max Pts/Item	SCORE
A. Preconstruction Approach / 40 Points Maximum		
1. Approach to the review of the documents with Architect and Campus	5	
2. Process to confirm budget / Description of target budgets	5	
3. CM participation in constructability, value engineering, estimating, recommendation of	5	
4. Opportunities for sustainable design	5	
5. Development of bid packages and inducing competitive bid packages	5	
6. Quality Control Plan	5	
7. Prequalification of trade contractors	5	
8. Experience with and recommendations for design assist trade contractors	5	
Subtotal Points for Preconstruction Project Approach		0
B. Construction Approach / 40 Points Maximum		
1. Administration of schedule to assure trade contractor compliance	10	
2. Preconstruction Meeting	5	
3. Process for review of trade contractor progress reports / cash flow projections	5	
4. Coordination of trade contractors, schedule and problem resolution	10	
5. Quality control plan / Personnel that will review submittals /Personnel tasked with assuring work complies with submittals / Use of mock-ups / Process to link VE & cost control from preconstruction to construction.	10	
Subtotal Points for Construction Project Approach		0
Total Points for Overall Project Approach		0
TAB 6 - Project Schedule and Plan / 50 Points Maximum		
Include a summary level schedule illustrating how CM intends to manage the Project. Provide the schedule and narrative demonstrating that the CM understands the overall process and sequencing of activities starting at the beginning of preconstruction through the end of construction, including such items as Seismic Review Board, Mechanical Review Bord, GMP submittal, bidding, and Board of Trustees approval.	Max Pts/Item	SCORE
A. A CPM schedule that integrates the all critical proposed design & construction activities.	10	
B. Illustrate an understanding of the CSU processes, required Project approvals, and durations for design reviews.	15	
C. Coordination of the project milestones with critical approval, review and activity links.	15	
D. Identifies sequences and relationships for critical submittals and shop drawings.	10	
Total Points for Project Schedule and Plan		0
TAB 7 - Exceptions / Clarifications / 0 points Maximum		
Any exceptions or clarifications to the RFP must be listed on an item-by-item basis and cross-referenced with the RFP document. If there are no exceptions or clarifications, Proposer must expressly state that no exceptions or clarifications are taken.	Max Pts	SCORE
	0	0
Total Points for Exceptions/Clarifications		0
The undersigned members of the RFP Technical Proposal Evaluation Committee certify that this is a true summary of the quality points agreed upon.		
Signatures		